ed For Release 2006/06/19 : CIA-RDP83M00914R00200

TOANCATT	TAL SLIP DATE 5/13/8	2 - '
TRANSMIT	TAL SLIP 3/13/0	
TO: Execu	utive Registry	
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	
REMARKS:	 	
	ention copy attached.	
FROM: A/	/C/NIC	
FROM: A/		XTENSION

d For Release 2006/06/19: CIA-RDP83M00914R002000

1. ES - FYIL

Original was subto

Der for Doci - Per

SA/DOCI.

2. ER Pending

Old Market

Old Marke

d For Release 2006/06/19 : CIA-RDP83M00914R002000

R	OUTING	3 AND	RECORI	D SHEET 82-2836/2
SUBJECT: (Optional)				
Decision on Warning Wor	rking Gr	oup Rep	ort	
FROM:			EXTENSION	NO. DDI# 2600 02
A/C/NIC		!		DDI# 2690-82
7 E 62, Hqs.		,	[]	13 May 1982
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and	DA	TE	 	
building)	—		OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)
•	RECEIVED	FORWARDED		
1.	ردرة	Kay 80	1	
DDI	17		<u> </u>	
2.	-	1	U	
		''		127 10.11
3.		:		1-47,10: / have no
		1 . !		Serious substantive
4. ~	 _ 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 	problems but women
EXDIR N	14 M	AY 1982	1 /	about 11) to Danie al shi
5.	 	 		about (1) too large a staff and (2) a requirement for too many DDI analysto
5 .	.	1	1	and (4) a requirement for
				Too many DDI analysto
6.		1	- 1	as part of that staff. We are running out of people.
			1 · !	are running out of people.
7.		i		D Ry
DDCI		, ,	1 !	Land of the second state of the
8.		 +		4-7/10 BOB/BILL
	1	, I	1	BOB/BILL
9.	-		 	-1 1-1. 1GT THIS
y.		!	1 : '	I'M AGAINST THIS
	<u> </u>	, <u>.</u>	<u> </u>	PROPOSAL KE STS
10.			1 4	OF PEOPLE
DCI				TAGREE WITH
	134 34		البيدني	I AGNEC
				BOB GATES - DOND
12.	rig grija	17 A. T.		130000000000000000000000000000000000000
				SHOULD NUMBER NO
13. (1) (1) (4) (4) (4) (4) (1) (4) (4) (4)				SHOULD NOW Z INCLU
	E Garage			MORE THAT ISONING
14.			H	NICO FOR WATER OF
			1	AND MAKE IN ANISMI
			<u> </u>	EXISTING MECHATIO!
15.				AS AGREED BY NEID -
$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \right) \right) \right)}{1} \right) \right) \right)} \right) \right)} \right)} \right)} \right)} \right)} \right)} \right$			1	I www.

INTERN

Becaute ace top

THE DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20505

National Intelligence	Council	••	DDI #2690-82	
		,	13 May 1982	
	Director of Central Deputy Director of C		ence	
FROM :	Acting Chairman, Nat] ional Intelliger	nce Council	25X1
SUBJECT :	Decision on Warning	Working Group Re	eport	
decisions on warn with your approva	l please sign the at process of working	he memorandum. tached memorandu	If this concept meets im to the NFIB to get	
activities of the Community. In the redundancy of warrextensive, and extensive DIA managed De	oD I&W System. We w nd to respond to DoD	ements within th my, we will avoi e most prominent used to sort wa ill establish pr	ne Intelligence id unnecessary	
in the CIA, DIA, objective of ensure pitfalls of many	State/INR, and elsew	here that we will of alternative res. We have no		25X1 25X1
appropriate. about applying it	discussion of where well is a rare talent warning probay have already descriptions.	and he is reasor lem. His intere	would be nably enthusiastic est in warning is long- ou. On the other hand,	25X1 25X1
All Portions of The are Classific				0574
	· SI	ECRET		25X1

he has been explicit in stating what he does <u>not</u> want to do. He has made it a condition of his reemployment that he not be given any management responsibilities such as those incurred by the NIO/Warning or the director of a warning staff. ______ and I have discussed with ______ various ways of fitting _____ into a warning mechanism and asked if he would consider being NIO, staff director, or whatever. _____ reply was interesting. "I am retired, management chores are behind me, and I have no intention of getting into a white-knuckle job again. I want to deal only with ideas and substantive intelligence." _____ may be willing to assume the title of NIO for Warning if we can provide him appropriate assistance for the management aspects (see attachment).

- 5. The "no-surprise" doctrine in DCID 1/5 will be retained with the understanding that the definition will be expanded to include slower developing, longer term intelligence problems of social change and economic issues. There are limits, of course, to this expansion of the warning function and we are particularly sensitive to the danger of being distracted from the survival issues of big-W warning. We also recognize the requirement to warn, in a timely manner, of Iran-type situations of societal and political change. There is a clear need in the CIA to imbue more of the production office analysts with a sense of responsibility for warning. We will work closely with the DDI in this regard.
- The procedures for integrating the various Community warning activities into a national warning system should be a bit more systematic than what has existed in the past. Bob Gates and I are evolving procedures to make the Watch Committee and the NIO/W and the Warning Staff] is making a regular contribution to the Watch complementary. Committee and is working with Doug MacEachin on daily views of warning situations. There appears to be support for a stronger leadership role by the DCI warning mechanism to coordinate policy and resource issues, to take the lead in premonitory analysis of warning issues and to facilitate the rapid and timely dissemination of warning intelligence at the national level. These objectives can be achieved by involving the NIOs and consultants as a collegial body in structured discussions of warning problems in addition to their role of individually leading monthly warning discussions of Community regional analysts. A national warning staff, with representation from most of the Community, will be the mechanism for tending the warning machinery in Washington and providing a continuous DCI presence in the warning arena.
- 7. The structure of the national warning staff need not be decided in detail now. There is a consensus in the Community that the staff should have representation from at least State, NSA, DIA, and CIA. The service intelligence chiefs have been somewhat ambivalent about committing themselves to filling billets but they probably will come up with a proposal to supply one man from each service or fill one billet in rotation among the three services. The CIA contribution should be 2-3 analysts in addition to the NIO/W, Assistant NIO, and warning staff director.

2 SECRET 25X1 25X1

25X1

25X1

8. This table illustrates the allocation o`people according to recent practice and our current recommendation:

1975-80 1980 Proposed

Monitor world-wide developments in political-economic-military arenas, develop premonitory analyses of events, increase sensitivity of analysts and policy community to realistic worst cases.

Work military warning problems of strategic attack, NATO-WP, and Korea; DCI voice in development of indicator lists, perform the basic DCI staff work supporting the primary DoD effort in this area, supporting bilateral exchanges in consort with DoD.

NATO warning problem at political levels of North Atlantic Council and Defense Policy Committee.

Administrative support in academic research, training courses, contract administraton, etc.

Tota1

*Does not include the 5-10% of his time that C/NIC devoted to his NIO/W duties 1978-80. Does not include

**This is largely period of SWS dissolving by attrition, developing Working Group Report; omits clericals.

***The low side of these figures is sufficient to begin work with a revitalized warning staff and with a pronounced change of emphasis. The high side represents what it could grow to if 6-12 months experience demonstrates a requirement; omits clericals.

3 SECRET 25X1

25X1

- 9. The directors of the warning staffs in recent years have been CIA officers with military deputies. There have been suggestions to change this to routinely have a military officer, detailed to CIA, serve as warning staff director. All agree that would provide a nice ecumenical touch but even the service intelligence chiefs are skeptical of their ability to turn up a well-qualified nominee. In the interest of getting a good director, soon, I recommend that we proceed to recruit the best qualified person recognizing that it may be a CIA officer.
- 10. The location of the staff is strongly influenced by space available. Space is available here for 2-3 people and in the Pentagon (present Strategic Warning Staff quarters) for about 10 people. There is a prevailing opinion that most of the warning staff should be here, to better serve you and to work with the DDI analytical elements. There also is a strong body of opinion, particularly among the military, that the warning staff should maintain a presence in the Pentagon. Thus, I recommend that we have elements of the staff in both places and adjust strength as we evolve in the next year.
- 11. The Warning Working Group has been a satisfactory body for providing the Community insight into the DCI warning activities and as a channel for suggesting change. I recommend that we continue it.
- 12. There is an issue of the DCI role in warning with respect to the political elements of NATO. The Supreme Allied Commander, Europe, (SACEUR) sponsors exchanges of warning intelligence information and analysis through Supreme Headquarters Allied Powers, Europe (SHAPE). Also, he sponsors bilateral exchanges through his J-2 EUCOM with each of the national forces outside the NATO arena. What is lacking is a continuous, coherent effort at the political level to reduce the security barriers to warning exchanges, a continuous tutorial at the ministerial level to educate goverments on the warning problem, and a sophisticated dialogue. What we do have in this political arena are occasional DoD briefings and several years of DoD studies pointing out the need for a more coherent effort. I recommend that we not work this problem through the Warning Working Group. I recommend that the Chairman/Vice Chairman NIC work this directly with Bud McFarlane, Larry Eagleburger, and Fred Ikle.
- 13. This memorandum does not contain a full discussion of all the issues raised in the Warning Working Group Report. I do not believe that you are required to make a decision on all these issues. Everything I have recommended is consistent with the working group consensus and the remarks at the NFIB. Also, the Warning Working Group members have participated in drafting your Memorandum for the NFIB. The Directors of DIA, NSA, and INR as well as General Stilwell agree that there is no requirement for further NFIB discussion at this time. Therefore, I request your approval to proceed along the lines of this memorandum.

Attachment

25X1

APPROVAL:	De / Joe: Kewrite
Director of Central Intelligence	Date
DISAPPROVED:	
Director of Central Intelligence	Date

DDI# 2690-82 13 May 1982

25X1

SUBJECT: Decision on Warning Working Group Report	
A/C/NIC: Distribution: Original & 1 - DCI 1 - DDCI 1 - EXDIR 1 - Executive Registry 1 - DDI 1 - C/NIC	25 <u>¥</u> 25¥
1 - NIO/W	

1 - Chrono

1 - DDI Registry